INDEX OF NAMES

Akmajian 1, 25
Anderson, S. R.
  Breton: complementarity 306, 326; particles 294fn
  criteria for identifying subjects 169
  Georgian: agreement 160; inversion 159, 190
  incorporation analysis of Breton complementarity 306, 326
  morphological analysis of Georgian inversion 190
  themes, role of in grammatical structure 172
Aoun
  clitic doubling 238
  generalization of binding conditions 252
Aoun, Hornstein and Sportiche
  movement in LF 358
Archangeli
  coordination in Malayalam 392
Aronson
  Georgian: agreement 161–2; semantics of tenses 174; verb classification 193

Bach, K. and Harnish
  speech act theory 18
Baker
  learning and learnability 108
Baltin
  TOPIC node 283
Berwick and Weinberg
  parsing theorems 108
Borer
  Hebrew: free relatives 238; preposition stranding 220fn; pro-drop 244fn
Bowers
  TOPIC node 283
Bresnan aand Grimshaw
  complementizer indexing 236
  deletion over a variable 226
Burzio
  unaccusatives 181

Chomsky
  Aspects: morphology 158
  Concepts and Consequences: clitics 304, 311; cosuperscripting of clitics with pro 311; movement in syntax and LF alike 383; pro-drop 244fn; theta criterion 315: vacuous quantification 222
  Lectures on Government and Binding: 219; abstract case 205–6; abstract operator fronted to COMP 222, 228; anaphors 209; Binding Theory 220, 244, 251, 407; clitics 304; deletion of abstract operator in COMP 222; ECP applies at LF 397, 400; no free deletion in COMP 228; nonconfigurationality 359; pro-drop 244fn; relative pronouns R-expressions 409; sentence headed by INFL 252; theta criterion 315; Wh-movement in relatives and topicalization 225
  On Binding: indexing 236
  On Wh-movement: 219; Hebrew relatives: obligatory gap in subject 244, without movement 221; predication 222; successive cyclic movement 243fn; TOPIC node 283; topicalization 225;
Chomsky and Lasnik
  deletion of pronoun operator in COMP 222
Chung
  Chamorro: AGR not governor 316, 319; agreement 292; null arguments 316; object pro not locally determined 336
Cinque
  relative pronouns as anaphors 410
Comrie
  topic-worthiness not basis of animacy hierarchies 68–9
Crain and Fodor
  parsing and grammars 107
Culicover
  extraposition from indirect objects 97
Culicover and Wilkins
  learnability theory 77fn
  restricted theory of transformations 99
  stylistic rules structure-preserving 100

Delahunty
subjects not topics 286fn
Denez
Breton particles pronominal 295fn
Doron
Hebrew relatives: topicalization and 247; landing site of pronoun operator 223

Eid
Arabic subject relatives 247

Fassi Fehri
gap in Arabic subject relatives due to pro-drop 244
Fodor, Janet
Freezing Principle rejected by 98fn
markedness and extraction 113–5
Nested Dependency Constraint 90–2
parsing and dative movement 96
processing alternative to Freezing Principle 93
Frazier and Fodor
Right (Local) Association Principle 113

Gazdar
coordination schema 269
generalized left branch condition 131
slash categories 269–70
Gazdar and Pullum
categories defined 270–1
Givon
Hebrew: relatives without movement 221; obligatory gap in subject 244
Groos and van Riemsdijk
free relatives 240
Gueron
extraposition base generated 99fn

Hale
AUX defined by 43
complementizer indexing 236
lexical structure 41–2
linking rule 42
Warlpiri configurationality 39, 40
Halliday
systemic grammar 384
Harlow
Welsh: agreement analysis of nouns 344; (and Irish) particles pronominals in COMP 295fn; complementarity and ECP 318; V-fronting analysis of VSO 299fn
Harnish
speech act theory 18
Harris, Alice
Georgian: analysis, basis for Anderson's 213–4, changes syntactic structure 181, criticized 181–90; case marking active 166; inversion 159, 172–193, 180–90, rule global 182; not strictly ergative 161; object camouflage 163; plural agreement global 189; semantics of tenses 174; terms, criteria for identifying 169–72
Hayon
Hebrew: gap relatives involve no fronting 226; obligatory gap in subject 244; relatives without movement 221
Hendrick
relative pronouns as anaphors 410–11
Hewitt
Georgian: number agreement 188–9fn; suppletives 172
Holisky
Georgian medial verbs 166
Hornstein and Weinberg
learnability: and preposition stranding 83; and restructuring 81fn
Huang
Chinese Wh-movement 358
movement in syntax and LF alike 383

Jackendoff and Culicover
parsing and Dative Movement 96
Jaeggli
clitic doubling 238
pro-drop 244fn

Kayne
Connectedness Condition and clausal pied piping 412–4
head of COMP 415
paths 367
single paths in multiple questions 369fn
Kayne and Pollock
French Stylistic Inversion 230
Kervella
Breton proclitics 294fn
Koster
subjects as topics 286
topicalization 243

Langendoen et al.
Dative Movement judgements 117

Lapointe
Breton AGR absorbs government and Case 314
Lasnik and Saito
head of COMP 415
Le Gléau
Breton proclitics 294fn

Maling and Zaenen
TOPIC node 283
Mallinson and Blake
split case-marking and 67–8
Marantz
grammatical relations 43
Matthews, Peter
word and paradigm morphology 157, 190
May
Quantifier Raising 400–1
McCloskey and Hale
cosuperscripting of clitics and pro 311; Irish: agreement analysis of nouns 344, agreement 292, incorporation analysis rejected 341, pro not restricted to subjects 310; Welsh agreement 292
Merlan
Georgian inversion 174
Mohanan
Malayalam nonconfigurational 359

Nanni and Stillings
causal pied piping 397–400, 404, 406

PRO
excluded from Warlpiri by Configurationality Parameter 42
Perlmutter
unaccusatives 181
Pesetsky
Empty Category Principle accounts for *that*-trace 248
indexing of French complementizers 237fn
multiple questions 381
Path Containment Condition (PCC) 359, 367, 369fn, 381–383
paths 367
single paths in multiple questions 369fn
Postal
unaccusatives 181

Reinhart
Hebrew: doubly filled COMP 234; pronoun operator in COMP in relatives 229fn
Rivero
topic node 283
Rizzi
ECP effects avoided by subject postposing 248–9, 258
pro-drop 244fn
Roeper
learning and learnability 108
Ross
island constraints 269, 359
Penthouse Principle 140
pied piping 398

Safir
pro-drop 244fn
Sanije
Georgian: agreement 161–2; semantics of tenses 174
Schmerling
imperative *do* 15
Sells
V-fronting analysis of VSO 299fn
particles in Welsh and Irish COMP 295fn
Silverstein
ranking of features 67
Sproat
V-fronting analysis of VSO 299fn
Steele and Oehrle
AUX 18–22
Steele at al. 1–5
Stowell
COMP as head of S-bar 252–3
topicalization 243

Trépos
Breton proclitics 294fn
Tshenkeli
Georgian: number agreement 189fn; verb classification 193

van Riemsdijk and Williams
distinguish operator binding from gap binding 383
Varin
Breton SVO 300
Vogt
Georgian word order 186

Wanner
Right (Local) Association Principle 113
Wexler and Culicover
extraposition 108–110
learnability theory 77–8
Wilkins
restricted theory of transformations 99

INDEX OF LANGUAGES

Abkhaz-Abaza languages: – non-subjects coindexed with AGR 216

Arabic: – relatives: have complementizer indexing 235–7, subject, with resumptive pronouns 247

Breton: – agreement analysis 305–23, summary 321–3; agreement: in affirmative clauses 290–2, in negatives 293–6, in nouns (possessives) 343–7; Case absorption by AGR rejected 314–5; Complementarity Principle 289, 292–3, 298, 303–7, and AGR 312–6, and incorporation analysis 324–8, and preverbal NPs 316–21, apparent counter-examples explained 293–305, applies to S-structures 303, fundamental problem posed by 306–7; conjugated prepositions 296–8; D-structures 299; direct object pronouns are preverbal clitics 334–7; ECP and preverbal NPs 318–20; enclitic pronouns 301–5, originate as arguments and cliticize 304–5; governee of AGR must be null 307; incorporation analysis 323–9, requires resumptive pronouns 327–8, 330, summary 329; incorporation and agreement analyses compared 330–43; INFL: may require NEG to govern 320, position of 299; personal affixes: as incorporated pronouns 323–6, realize AGR 308; presentential NPs bind resumptive pronouns 328, 330; presentential position 296, 298; pro appears in any argument position 310; proclitic particles 294–6; pronominal enclitics 337–42; word order 295–6

Chamorro: – AGR perhaps governs only nonpronominals 316; Complementarity Principle 292

Chinese: – *see* Mandarin Chinese

Choctaw: – active language 167

Dakota: – active language 167

Dutch: – interjections 286fn; left dislocation 286fn; topicalization 286fn

English: – clausal pied piping 397–417, impossible with lexical subjects 407, succeeds with infinitives 403, summary 407; complex NP Shift 87; extraposition 83–4, 97–8, 109, 112, 115, 119–20, 126; informal style 2–10, 14–7; interjections 284–6; left dislocation 283–6; multiple questions may involve operator binding 380–1; topicalization 283–6; Tough Movement 89–91, 128–9

French: – COMP to COMP movement and stylistic inversion 230

Georgian: – active language 161, 166; agreement 160–3; apparently ergative 161; case marking 163–8, 204–6; conjugation marking unnecessary 214–5; criteria for objects 171–2, subjects 170–1; dative indirect objects 166; ergative subjects 166; intransitive verb classes 166; inversion 172–213, Harris' analysis 176–83, and unaccusative rule 180, as theta-role change 182, distribution of 174, indirect objects marked by -*tvis* 173, limited to finite forms 185–6, morphological unaccusative rule 199–201, morphology of 175–6, syntactic rules for 180; lexical entries for verbs 212; medial intransitives 166; morphology 157–218; nominative objects 166, subjects 166; number agreement 187–190; object camouflage 163, 208–10; relative verbs 194–8; tense/aspect 159–60; word order 186–7

Hebrew: – complementizer may delete when pronoun is in COMP 234; coordination 279–80; free relatives 237–40; inversion: triggered by overt pronoun in COMP 229–31, yields absence of *that*-trace effects 249–9; no *that*-

trace effects 248; object relatives: have complementizer indexing 234–5, 237, show inversion in top clause 231–2, with gap 220–2 have pronoun deleted in COMP 226–33, with overt pronouns have movement into COMP 223–6, with resumptive pronouns 220–22; pronoun operator movement as Wh-movement 223–40; pronoun operators not fronted to TOPIC 241–3; relatives 219–60, employ complementizer indexing 219, involving fronting 219, with gap have deletion in COMP 219, with pronoun have it moved into COMP 219; resumptive pronouns: and and Binding Conditions in subject relatives 251–9, coordinate freely 279–80; subject relatives 243–59, gaps and pronouns explained by Binding Conditions 251–9, pronoun operator abstract 250–1, with gap don't involve pro-drop 245–7, with resumptive pronouns 246–8. 251–9

Hindi: – resumptive pronouns operator bound 380; Wh-questions subject to PCC 379–80

Irish: – agreement in nouns (possessives) 344; Complementarity Principle 292; pro appears in any argument position 310

Italian: – AGR may govern any kind of NP 316

Japanese: – differs from W-type languages: no person marking in INFL 71; nominals omitted for discourse reasons 72; particles signal sentence types 21

Klallam: – W-type nonconfigurational 64–5

Korean: – Wh-questions not subject to PCC 379

Lummi: – W-type nonconfigurational 64–5

Malayalam: – binding of two types: gap and operator 358; clefted questions 364–9; clefts 363–9; coordination 392–4; corelatives *see* operator relatives; gap and operator binding on separate paths 359; gap binding: obeys island constraints 359, limited to relatives 360; gap relatives obey island constraints 359, 361–2; gap relativizing into questions possible 374–6; interactions between: relative types 376–7, relatives and clefts 377–9; multiple: clefts and the PCC 388–94, questions 369–72 scope of 370–2; no VP 360; nonconfigurational 359; operator: binding ignores island constraints 359, relatives ignore island constraints 362–3, 372–3, relativizing into questions impossible 374; quantifier binding not subjet to PCC 381–2; questioning out of: gap relatives possible 374–6, operator relatives impossible 374; questions 357, and relative clauses 372–6; relative clause only gap binding construction 360; relative clauses and questions 372–6; word order: free 359, not free in coordination 394

Mandarin Chinese: – nominals omitted for discourse reasons 72; Wh-movement in LF 358; Wh-questions not subject to PCC 379

Navajo: – NP hierarchy 68

Pama-Nyungan languages: – (include Warlpiri) show ergative split 45

Papago: – particles signal sentence types 21; split case but not ergative 65–6

Potowatomi: – inflectional morphology of 191

Romance: – conjugation marking possibly unnecessary 215

Russian: – filler-gap dependencies clause bounded 145

Salish languages: – 64

Spanish: – pro-drop as optional nominal adjunction 48–9

Warlpiri: – as W-type nonconfigurational 63–4; AUX contains inaudible arguments 43–7; case 51–9; clitic pronouns are verbal arguments 43–7; ergative split 44–5; function of split case system 69; nominals: are adjuncts to Verb-AUX complex 50, function of 59–63; nonconfigurationality 39–40; null anaphora not empty category 40, 47;

PS rule for AUX 64; split case system 44–5, 69; word order free 40
Welsh: – AGR may govern only pronouns 316; agreement in nouns (possessives) 344; Complementarity Principle 292; pro appears in any argument position 310

GENERAL INDEX

accusative: – in Georgian 164–5, assignment under morphological analysis 204; *see also* case assignment, case marking, ergative, object camouflage, split case marking

Across the Board Exceptions: – 269

active: – case marking in Georgian 166–7; *see also* case marking, ergative

Adjunct Island Constraint in Malayalam: – 361, 363, ignored by relatives without gaps 373

AGR: – in Breton 308–9, always a governor 309, Case not absorbed by 314–5, government not absorbed by 314–5, not sufficient to govern in negatives 319–20, null governee required 305, 307, 320, part of INFL and some prepositions 308–9; possibly not governor in Chamorro 316, 319; *see also* agreement, agreement analysis, INFL

agreement: – Breton 289–347, copies features from verbal arguments 202–3, in affirmative clauses excludes overt subjects 290–2, in negative clauses iff subject preverbal 293 supports Complementarity Principle 293–5, on prepositions 296–301, *see also* agreement analysis; Georgian 160–3, object 161–2, subject 161, summary 177

agreement analysis of Breton Complementarity: – 305–23; extended to nouns 343–7; possessives included 343–7; summarized 289, 321–2; superior to incorporation analysis 330–43; supported by metatheoretical arguments 343

anaphor, null: – and pro 41; and typology 39; in Warlpiri 40–41, not empty category 46–7; *see also* pro

appositives: – 27–30

'asher: – excluded from Hebrew free relatives 239–40; indexed complementizer 237, 240; *see also* relatives, Hebrew

aspect: – Georgian 160; *see also* tense

AUX: – imperatives and 11; INFL contained in by definition 43; Mad Magazine sentences and 11; sentence types defined by 21; Warlpiri: position in 39–40, structure of 64, verbal arguments within 43–4

AUX-inversion: – 2

Binary Principle: – 79, 100–102; derived from restrictions on grammars 142; stated 79–80; subjacency and 142

Binding Conditions: – *see* Binding Theory

Binding Theory: – 251; Breton preverbal NP distribution may be explained by 326; clausal pied piping data not all explained by 407–9; Hebrew resumptive pronouns and gaps explained by 220, 251–9; *see also* relatives, resumptive pronouns

binding relations account for similarities between Topicalization and Wh-movement: – 243

c-command: – definition 254fn; violated by Harris' Georgian inversion analysis 184

case assignment: – Georgian, under morphological analysis of inversion 204–5

case: – abstract not required for Georgian 205–6; *see also* accusative, dative, ergative, nominative

case marking: – active in Georgian 166–7; clausal pied piping may depend on 409–410; Georgian 163–8, active 166–7, ergative 166, 204, principles of 163, summary 177; semantic 60–1; Warlpiri: by verb is of inaudible arguments 51, of clitics not ergative 45–46, of nominals 59–60, primary and secondary distinguished 59–63; *see also* accusative, dative, ergative, nominative, split case marking

categories: – of Georgian 159–72; *see also* coordination

causatives: – no evidence from, for analysis of Georgian inversion 178
class IV verbs (Georgian): – inversion in all forms 174; *see also* class markers, conjugation classes, verb classification, verbs
class markers: – eliminated from Georgian 214–5; *see also* class IV verbs, conjugation classes, verb classification, verbs
clausal grammatical functions: – 384; *see also* grammatical functions, sentential grammatical functions
clausal pied piping: – 397–417; *see also* pied piping
clefted questions: – Malayalam 363–9; *see also* sentential grammatical functions
clefts: – Malayalam: and relatives interacting obey the PCC 377–9, multiple, and the PCC 388–95; *see also* sentential grammatical functions
clitic doubling: – Hebrew 238
clitics: – R-expressions 44; Breton: emphatic pronominals: are not, in D-structure 340–1, are 303; cosuperscripted with pro 311; Warlpiri: list of 45, not AGR 47–8, satisfy Lexical Structure arguments 44, 50, verbal arguments 43, 50; *see also* pro
COMP: – deletion from 219; Wh-phrase must be head of in clausal pied piping 415–6
coindexing: – and Wh-questions 357–8; of Georgian verb with all argument positions 215–6
Complementarity Principle: – Breton 289, 292, applies at S-structure 303–4, preposition agreement indirectly supports 298; Chamorro 292; Irish 292; *see also* agreement, agreement analysis, incorporation analysis
complementizer deletion: – Hebrew 234
complementizer indexing: – Arabic 235–6; Hebrew 235–7; permits deletion from COMP 219; *see also* **'asher**
Complex NP Constraint: – 221; in Malayalam 361–2, ignored by relatives without gaps 373; in Hebrew free relatives 239; *see also* constraints, island
complex symbols and morphology: – 158
components: – interaction of 210
configurational properties of Georgian: – 202
configurationality: – empty categories and 39–74; typology and 39
Configurationality Parameter: – projection principle and 42; revised 71–4; *see also* null anaphora, VP, W-type languages, word order
conjugation classes: – in Georgian not arbitrary 159; *see also* class IV verbs, class markers, verb classification, verbs
Connectedness Condition: – clausal pied piping not correctly analyzed by 412–4
constraints: – island 239, applicability to gap and operator binding 359, relatives without gaps in Malayalam ignore 373; *see also* Complex NP Constraint, Coordinate Structure Constraint, Sentential Subject Constraint, Wh-island Constraint
context free grammars including indices: – larger class than X-bar theory permits 354; not larger class than X-bar theory permits 355
context predicates: – effect of eliminating 99
Coordinate Structure Constraint: – 221, 269, 383; in Malayalam 361–2, ignored by relatives without gaps 373; *see also* constraints
coordination: – categories and 269–81; multiple clefts and, in Malayalam 392–4; *see also* foot features, head features, reflexives
core grammars: – finiteness of class at issue 355
corelatives: – *see* relatives without gaps
cosuperscripting of clitics with pro: – 311
counterexamples to learnability: – defined 81; *see also* learnability
crossover: – strong, affects all kinds of binding alike 383

Dative Movement and extraction: – 117–120
dative: – Georgian 164–6, assignment under morphological analysis 204, subjects 173; *see also* case assignment, case marking
degree-2 learnability: – Penthouse Principle and 140–1; significance of 81–2, 101–3, 132–7, 147–8
deletion from COMP: – in Hebrew relatives 219; topicalization derived by 283; *see also* **'asher**, complementizer deletion, complementizer indexing

deletion over variable: – 226
detectable errors: – defined 79; *see also* degree-2 learnability, learnability
direct objects: – Breton, in presentential position 333–7
discontinuous expressions and typology: – 39
doubtful gaps: – effect of on parsing 87–90, 126–130
dummy subjects: – Mad Magazine sentences lack 7–11

ECP: – *see* Empty Category Principle
emphatic pronominals: – Breton 301–5, agreement analysis favored by 337–42, reflexive analysis rejected 338–40
Empty Category Principle (ECP): – applies at LF 397, 400; Breton: Complementarity and 307, 318–21, fronting traces subject to 311; clausal pied piping and 397, ungrammaticality only partially explained 404–6; Hebrew: fails to yield *that*-trace effects 248; Welsh Complementarity and 318; *see also* government, proper government
empty categories: – configurationality and 39–74; lacking in Warlpiri 47; *see also* null anaphora, pro, Projection Principle, trace
Enterprise: – The Generative 349–55
ergative split: – *see* split case marking
ergative: – Georgian: analysis of 161, assignment in morphological analysis of 204, case marking in 166; Warlpiri: case marking of nominals 45; *see also* accusative, active case marking, case assignment, case marking
errors: – detectable defined 79
exclamatory sentences: – 2–23
Extended Standard Theory: – 219; *see also* Government and Binding
external arguments: – tvis-phrases as, in morphological analysis of Georgian 195–6
extraction: – restricted by parsing principles 115; *see also* extraposition, prepositional phrases, constraints (island)
extraposition: – Freezing Principle and 97–8; of PP, marked 114; Raising Principle and 83–4

f-structure: – of Malayalam clefted questions 366; *see also* Lexical Functional Grammar
feature complexes: – phonological realization of 158
filler-gap dependencies: – 86–92; effects of vary 110–13; restricted in GPSG 147; unbounded, depend on subcategorization 147; *see also* extraction, parsing
filters: – Breton, verb second order 296; Georgian: depth of morphological structure 197, cooccurrence in morphological structure 209; Hebrew, empty COMP 219, 234
final-1 Law: – violated by Harris' Georgian inversion analysis 183
focus: – as grammatical function 384; *see also* sentential grammatical functions
foot features: – include SLASH, REFL and WH 271; *see also* Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar
form-function fit: – 1
free relatives: – Hebrew 237–40, demonstrate relevance of complementizer indexing 237–40, obey island constraints 239; *see also* predication, relatives
Freezing Principle: – 99; alternatives to 93–8, 116–125; central to learnability proof 81fn; effect of eliminating 99–103; elimination possible 100–101; excludes restructuring analyses 81fn; learnability constraint 78; processing alternative to 93–8, rejected 78, 96; stated 80–1; superior to processing explanations 96; *see also* learnability, parsing, processing explanations, Raising Principle

gap binding: – identifies clausal grammatical function 385; operator binding compared to 357–9; Malayalam: obeys island constraints 359, 361–2, paths separate from operator binding 368–9, restricted to relative clauses 360–1; *see also* clausal grammatical functions, free relatives, gap relatives, operator binding, relatives with gaps, relatives without gaps
gap relatives: – in Hebrew: analyses for 226, involve fronting of phonological pronoun 226–33, obey island constraints 226; *see also* free relatives, gaps, operator binding, relatives, resumptive pronouns

gaps: – distribution in Hebrew object relatives 220–1; *see also* empty categories, free relatives, gap relatives, null anaphora, relatives, traces, Wh-movement
GB: – *see* Government and Binding
Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar (GPSG): – 269–280; degree-2 learnability obtained in 148; explanation of *Tough* phenomena 127–30; subjacency in 131
gerundives and clausal pied piping: – 416–7
GLOW: – 351–2
governing category: – 251–3; *see also* Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), Government and Binding, government, governors
government: – proper, in Georgian 215–6; *see also* Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), governing category, Government and Binding, governors
Government and Binding (GB): – 219; basis for analysis of Breton Complementarity 307–8; clausal pied piping a problem for 397, 417; gap and operator binding distinguished by locus of movement 359; TOPIC node in 283; Topicalization and Wh-movement, account of similarities 242–3; Wh-movement in 357; *see also* Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), ECP, governing category, government, governors, Move Alpha, Wh-movement
governors: – presentential NPs in Breton not included among 318; subject to parametric variation 319; *see also* Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), governing category, Government and Binding, government
GPSG: – *see* Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar
grammars: – classes of 352–5; *see also* context free grammars
grammatical functions: – and binding types 385; two types proposed 384; *see also* clausal grammatical functions, sentential grammatical functions
grammatical relations: – expressed at surface 43
head features: – 270; *see also* foot features, Generalized Phrase Structure Grammar, coordination
Heavy NP Shift and extraction: – 118–120
imperative: – sentence type non-existent 2; sentences: defined by speech act theory 18, Mad Magazine sentences compared to 11–18; *see also* sentence types
imperative *do*: – 15–18
incorporation analysis: – of Breton complementarity 323–9, alternative accounts of preverbal NPs within 326–9, inferior to agreement analysis 330–43, summarized 289; *see also* AGR, agreement analysis, Complementarity Principle, INFL, presentential position, NEG
INFL includes AGR in Breton: – 308–9
inflection: – as D-structure pronouns 323–6; complex symbols and 158; *see also* AGR, agreement, agreement analysis, Complementarity Principle, incorporation analysis, INFL
inflectional dummies in Georgian: – 199; role in agreement 203; yield inversion structures 207
initial position: – in Breton S restricted to subjects 299; *see also* presentential NPs
interjections: – topicalization analysis selected by 283–7
intonation: – of Mad Magazine sentences 8–10; sentence types and 19–20
intransitive verb classes: – 166
inversion: – Georgian 175–6, function of in Georgian 174, inflectional dummy analysis 207, operations on morphosyntactic representations 190, morphological analysis: advantages of 211–12 preliminary versions 191–3, 200, morphology parallels syntax 177–8, nonfinite forms immune 185, relative verbs immune in morphological analysis 195, rule-based analysis rejected 159, subjects initial like noninversion subjects 186–7, syntactic analysis of Georgian 172–93, syntactic rule eliminated from morphological account 206–7, Harris' rule global 182, *see also* unaccusative; Hebrew: gap relatives and 227–33, resumptive pronoun relatives immune from 233, shows gap relatives have

real pronoun operator 231–3, *see also* ECP
island constraints: – *see* constraints, island

landing site: – of Hebrew pronoun operator 223–6; *see also* Wh-movement
learnability: – degree-2 81–2, 101–3, 132–7, 147–8; *see also* Binary Principle, degree-2 learnability, Freezing Principle, parsing, processing explanations, Raising Principle
learnability constraints: – motivation for contested 136; *see also* Binary Principle, Freezing Principle, processing explanations, Raising Principle
learnability proof: – goal of 79; significance of 82; summary of 78–81; unbounded dependencies and 130; *see also* learnability constraints
learnability theory: – constraints on grammars motivated by 77
Left Branch Condition: – generalized 131; *see also* GPSG
left dislocation: – 283–7
Lexical Functional Grammar (LFG) distinguishes operator binding from gap binding: – 383
Lexical Structure: – arguments inaudible at 43; (Hale) 41–2; *see also* AUX, clitics, configurationality parameter
lexical specifications of Georgian verbs: – 212
lexical subjects: – clauses containing cannot be pied piped 407; *see also* PRO
LF: – *see* Logical Form
LFG: – *see* Lexical Functional Grammar
Logical Form (LF): – locus for ECP 397; of pied piping 400–404; of Malayalam clefted questions 366; *see also* operator binding
linguistic education: – 151–6
linguistics journals: – 261–7
linking: – of clitics to nominals in Warlpiri 51–9; *see also* clitics, Lexical Structure
Linking Rule: – optional nominals and 43; *see also* clitics, Lexical Structure
Local Association Principle: – see Right Association Principle

Mad Magazine sentences: – 2–23; dummy subjects absent form 7–11; imperatives compared to 11–18; intonation of 8–10; subjects of 6–11; topicalization and 3, 10; *see also* sentence types
Markedness Extraction Constraint: – 113–116; Freezing Principle and 116–7
medial verbs: – Georgian 166
metatheoretical arguments: – for agreement analysis of Breton 343; *see also* agreement analysis, Complementarity Principle, incorporation analysis
morpheme: – classical definition 157; *see also* word and paradigm
morphological analysis: – of Georgian inversion, advantages of summarized 211–12; *see also* inversion, Relational Grammar
morphology in Relational Grammar: – 214
morphosyntactic representations: – internal structure of 191–3
Move alpha: – yields no learnability counterexamples 100; *see also* Government Binding, relatives, topicalization, Wh-movement
movement: – Hebrew: in some relatives 222–6, not in relatives with overt resumptive pronouns 221, relatives involving obey island constraints 223; restricted by parsing principles 115; *see also* gap relatives, gaps, Move alpha, operator binding, relatives, Wh-movement
multiple: – clefts: the PCC and in Malayalam 388–95; paths: Malayalam multiple clefts and 390; questions: create single paths 369, Malayalam 369–72, possibly operator bound in English 380–1

NEG: – required in INFL for government in Breton negative clauses 319–20; *see also* governing category, government, Government and Binding, governor
Nested Dependency Constraint: – problems with 90–2; *see also* learnability constraints, parsing, processing explanations
nominative: – Georgian 164–6, assignment under morphological analysis 204, objects 173; *see also* case marking, split case marking
nonconfigurationality: – of Malayalam 359; of Warlpiri 51; W-type 63-6; *see also* VP, word order
NP structure: – 25–36; *X*-bar syntax of 30–6; *see also* agreement analysis, Complementarity Principle
null argument languages: – varieties of 316;

see also anaphora (null), AGR, AUX, clitics, pro, pro-drop parameter
null governee of AGR in Breton: – 313–6
number agreement: – Georgian 187–90; *see also* agreement, plural agreement

object: – agreement (Georgian) 161–2; camouflage (Georgian) 163, 208–10, possessive tavi-phrases in 209
objects: – Georgian: criteria for identifying 171–2, nominative 173; *see also* accusative, case assignment, case marking, ergative
obligatory gap in Hebrew subject relatives: – not pro-drop 244–7; results from abstract subject pronoun operator 250; *see also* binding conditions, gap relatives, relatives (subject), resumptive pronouns
operator binding: compared to gap binding 357–9; English multiple questions may have 380–1; Hindi: of resumptive pronouns 380; identifies a sentential grammatical function 385; Malayalam: ignores island constraints 359, 362–3; paths separate from gap binding 368–9; quantifier binding distinct from 381–3; Wh-in situ involved 357–9; *see also* clefts, constraints (island), correlatives, focus, quantifier binding, relatives, topicalization, Wh-questions

parallelism in Malayalam multiple clefts: – 393–4
parametric variation: – in relation between AGR and governee 315–6; *see also* configurationality parameter, typology
parenthetical analysis, of *of all people*: – 124–5
parsing: – Culicover's attack on, analyzed 107; learnability and 105; markedness and 113; principles derived, restricting extraction and movement 115; Raising Principle and 106; relation to grammars 107; *see also* processing, processing explanations
particles: – Breton neither pronominal nor in COMP 295fn
Path Containment Condition (PCC): – 357; explains differential relativizing into Malayalam questions 376; gap and operator binding in Malayalam obey separately 359; Malayalam clefted questions and 368–9; stated 359, 368; violated when multiple questions interact with verbs 371–2; *see also* gap binding, operator binding, paths, Pesetsky, questions, relatives
paths: – illustrated 367–8; multiple, in Malayalam multiple clefts 390, 394–5; *see also* Path Containment Condition
PCC: – *see* Path Containment Condition
Penthouse Principle: – learnability and 140–1; *see also* Binary Principle, degree-2 learnability
perfective HAVE: imperatives and 11–2; Mad Magazine sentences and 11–2
phonology and syntax: – 33
pied piping: – clausal 397–417, LF representation of 402–4, 406; LF representation of 400–4; *see also* Government and Binding
plural agreement: – syntactic analysis of Georgian inversion requires global rule 189; *see also* number agreement
possessive tavi-phrases: – not anaphors in object camouflage 209; *see also* external arguments
possessives: – Breton 343–7
pragmatic principles and sentence types: – 22–3
predicates: – clausal grammatical functions linked to 383–5
predication: – rule of and resumptive pronouns 222
preposition stranding: – processing explanation of 85–92; Raising Principle and 83–4; *see also* learnability, parsing, processing explanations
prepositions: – Breton, conjugated 296–301; *see also* Complementarity Principle
presentential NPs: – Breton 296, 298, direct objects 330–7, do not govern their traces 318, favor agreement analysis 330–7, require resumptive pronouns in incorporation analysis 326–32; *see also* agreement analysis, Binding Theory, Complementarity Principle, incorporation analysis, initial position, subjects
PRO in subject with clausal pied piping: – 403
pro: – content locally determined by AGR 309–10; cosuperscripted with clitics 311; not restricted to subjects 310; subject of Breton null-subject sentences

309; *see also* Aoun, Borer, Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), empty categories, trace
pro-drop: – analyzed as optional nominal adjunction 48–9; Georgian permits for all arguments 216; Hebrew: restricted to certain tenses 245, not responsible for subject relatives gap 244–7, pronoun distribution in relatives not explained by 220; *see also* Aoun, Borer, Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), empty categories, trace
processing explanations: – challenged 78; foundations needed for 84; of preposition stranding facts 85–92; *Tough* Movement and 89–92; *see also* learnability, parsing
proclitic particles: – Breton 294–5
Projection Principle: – lexical structure and 41; *see also* Government and Binding, Configurationality Parameter
pronominal enclitics: – Breton 301–5
pronoun operator: – overt, moves to COMP in Hebrew relatives 219, 223–6; *see also* inversion (Hebrew), relatives, resumptive pronouns, Wh-movement
proper government: – implications for Georgian 215–6; *see also* Chomsky (*Lectures on Government and Binding, Concepts and Consequences*), government, Government and Binding, governors
Propositional Island Constraint and pied piping: – 399
punctuation: – 419–25

quantifier binding distinct from operator binding: – 381–3
Quantifier Raising: – 401
quantifiers: – path invisible for operator binding: – 381–2.
questions: – multiple: in English possibly operator bound 380–1, in Malayalam 369–72; *see also* Wh-movement

Raising Principle: – 98; alternatives to 108–116; empirical support from preposition stranding 83–4; learnability constraint 78; parsing and 106; processing alternatives to rejected 78; stated 79; unnecessary 116; *see also* degree-2 learnability, learnability, parsing, processing alternatives
raising: – no evidence for analysis of Georgian inversion from 179
reflexives: – emphatic in Breton 339–40; foot feature representation in GPSG and coordination 273–5
Relational Grammar: – morphology in 214
relative clauses: – *see* relatives
relative pronouns: – anaphors 410–12; R-expressions 409; *see also* clausal pied piping, free relatives, relatives
relative verbs (Georgian): – 194–8; fail to invert in morphological analysis 195; tvis-phrases and 195–6
relatives: – Hebrew restrictive 219–59, free 237–40, fronted pronouns in 220, 223–6, gap: have fronted phonological pronoun 226–33, obey island constraints 226, resumptive pronoun based: violate movement constraints 221–2 (*see also* resumptive pronoun relatives, resumptive pronoun strategy), two kinds of 219; Malayalam: clefts interacting with obey the PCC 377–9, gap binding in 360–1, obey island constraints 361–2, PCC and 376–7, without gaps 372 ignore island constraints 373; *see also* corelatives, free relatives, gap binding, gaps, Government and Binding, operator binding, resumptive pronoun relatives, resumptive pronoun strategy, resumptive pronouns, subject relatives, Wh-movement
resumptive pronoun relatives: – violate movement constraints in Hebrew 221–2; *see also* relatives (resumptive pronoun based), resumptive pronoun strategy, resumptive pronouns
resumptive pronoun strategy blocked for Hebrew by Binding Conditions: – 244, 254
resumptive pronouns: – Breton negatives contain, under incorporation analysis 327–9; Hebrew: bound by abstract operator 253, coordination of 278–9, distribution in object relatives 220–1, distribution in subject relatives: explained by Binding Conditions 251–9 gaps and 251–9 regulated by pro-drop 247; Hindi: undergo operator binding 380; *see also* Binding Theory, relatives, subject relatives

Right Association Principle: – 113; *see also* parsing
rightward movement: – extraction from, and parsing 87–9; *see also* extraposition

scope: – of Wh-phrases 358–9; *see also* operator binding
sentence headed by INFL: – 252
sentence types: – 1; AUX central to definition of 21; intonation and 19–20; syntax and 18–23
sentential grammatical functions: – 384; *see also* clausal grammatical functions, focus, grammatical functions, questions, topicalization
Sentential Subject Constraint: – in Malayalam 361–2, ignored by relatives without gaps 373; *see also* constraints, island
slash categories: – and coordination schema 269–70; *see also* coordination, GPSG
Specified Subject Constraint: – and pied piping 399; *see also* constraints, island
speech act theory defines sentence types: – 23
split case marking: – 45–6, 64–6; nonconfigurational languages and 39; reanalysis of 68–9; topic-marking and 67–8, questioned 68; results from speaker expectancy 67, denied 67; *see also* AUX, clitics, Configurationality Parameter, ergative, Lexical Structure
stylistic rules structure preserving 100
subjacency: – Binary Principle and 142; GPSG and 131
Subject Constraint: – 383
subject: – Breton: in negative clauses presentential 296, incompatible with agreement forms 290–2, only legal S-initial constituent 299; Georgian: agreement 161, dative 173, identification of 169, initial in unmarked order 186; Hebrew, postposing in permits extraction of subjects 249; Mad Magazine sentences and 6–11; properties of: imperatives 11, Mad Magazine sentences 11; TOPIC, evidence against 286; *see also* AGR, ECP, nominative relatives, resumptive pronouns, subject relatives
subject relatives: – Hebrew 243–59, sometimes exclude resumptive pronouns 220; *see also* relatives, resumptive pronouns, subject
superscripting and grammatical functions: – 384–8
SVO: – analysis of VSO 299–300
syntax and phonology: – 33
Systemic Grammar and grammatical functions 384

tav-reflexives: – evidence for analysis of Georgian inversion 178
tense: – Georgian 160; *see also* aspect
tenseless sentences: – 2; *see also* AGR, PRO
terms: – criteria for identifying in Georgian 169–72
themes: – suppletion and in Georgian 171–2
topic as grammatical function: – 384
TOPIC position: – 283–7; distinct from COMP in Hebrew 225–6, 242, 254–5
topicalization: – Hebrew movement relatives and 225–6; Mad Magazine sentences and 3, 10; *see also* sentential grammatical functions, TOPIC
topicalized pronouns: – in Hebrew not deletable 241; *see also* inversion, Hebrew
Tough Movement: – explained by GPSG 127–30; Wh-fronting and 89–92
trace: – results from Breton fronting 311; *see also* ECP, empty categories, proper government
tvis-phrases: – Georgian relative verbs and 195–6; inversion and 173, 175
typology: – 39

unaccusative: – in Georgian 180, rule in morphology 199–200; *see also* morphological analysis

V-Fronting: – analysis of VSO 299
verb classification: – Georgian 193–4; *see also* class IV verbs, class markers, conjugation classes, verbs
verb second in Breton: – 295
verbs: – Georgian: lexical specifications of 212, relative 194–8; *see also* class IV verbs, class markers, conjugation classes
VP: – lacking at surface in Malayalam 360; *see also* nonconfigurationality, Configurationality Parameter

W-type languages: – defined 64–5; nonconfigurationality of 63–6; split case-marking in 64–6; *see also* AGR, AUX,

clitics, Configurationality Parameter, ergative, Lexical Structure
Wh-fronting: – successive cyclicity and Freezing Principle 102–3; *see also* subjacency, Wh-movement
Wh-island Constraint: – Malayalam: apparently obeyed by corelativizing into questions 374–5 rejected as explanation 376, ignored by gap relativizing into questions 374–5; *see also* constraints (island), PCC
Wh-movement: – in topicalization 283; of pronoun in Hebrew relatives 219; *see also* gap binding, Government and Binding, Move alpha, movement, operator binding, PCC, questions, relatives, topicalization, Wh-fronting, Wh-questions.
tions.
Wh-questions: – ignore island constraints in Malayalam 362–3; Hindi, subject to PCC 379–80; Korean, not subject to PCC 379; Mandarin Chinese, not subject to PCC 379; *see also* operator binding, Wh-movement
word and paradigm: – morphological theories 157–8
word order: – Georgian 186–7; of interjections 284–7; of nominals in W-type languages free 65; typology and 39, 40; verb second in Breton affirmative and negative clauses 295; *see also* Configurationality Parameter, nonconfigurationality

X-bar syntax and NP structure: – 30–6
XX extraction constraint: – 121–5; *see also* learnability, parsing